

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**

A. Hodzic et al.

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe during the August 2003 heat wave as seen from CHIMERE model simulations and POLDER data

A. Hodzic¹, R. Vautard¹, H. Chepfer¹, P. Goloub², L. Menut¹, P. Chazette³, J. L. Deuzé², A. Apituley⁴, and P. Couvert³

¹Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, Palaiseau, France

²Laboratoire d'Optique Atmosphérique, Lille, France

³Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, Gif sur Yvette, France

⁴National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands

Received: 16 February 2005 – Accepted: 17 May 2005 – Published: 27 June 2005

Correspondence to: A. Hodzic (hodzic@lmd.polytechnique.fr)

© 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

This study describes the atmospheric aerosol load encountered during the large-scale pollution episode that occurred in August 2003, by means of the aerosol optical thicknesses (AOTs) measured at 865 nm by the Polarization and Directionality of the Earth's Reflectances (POLDER) sensor and the simulation by the CHIMERE chemistry-transport model. During this period many processes (stagnation, photochemistry, forest fires) led to unusually high particle concentrations and optical thicknesses. The observed/simulated AOT comparison helps understanding the ability of the model to reproduce most of the gross AOT features observed in satellite data, with a general agreement within a factor 2 and correlations in the 0.4–0.6 range. However some important aerosol features are missed by the simulation using regular sources. Additional simulations including emissions and high-altitude transport of smoke from wildfires that occurred in Portugal indicate that these processes could dominate the signal. This study also highlights the difficulties of comparing simulated and POLDER-derived AOTs due to large uncertainties in both cases. Observed AOT values are significantly lower than the simulated ones (30–50%). The simultaneous comparison with the ground-based Sun photometer Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) measurements suggests, for the European sites considered here, an underestimation of POLDER-derived aerosol levels with a factor between 1 and 2. AERONET AOTs compare better with simulations (no particular bias) than POLDER AOTs do.

1. Introduction

During the first half of August 2003 a severe heat wave hit Western and Central Europe (Rasool et al., submitted, 2004¹). The persistent anticyclonic conditions characterized

¹Rasool, I., Baldi, M., Wolter, K., Chase, T. N., Otterman, J., and Pielke, R. A.: August 2003 heat wave in Western Europe: An analysis and perspectives, submitted to J. Geophys. Res., 2004.

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**A. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

by exceptionally high temperatures, were favorable to the development of a large-scale photochemical pollution episode (Vautard et al., 2005). The stagnation of the air mass also conduced to the accumulation of the primary emitted particulate matter (PM) and the development of secondary aerosols. Furthermore, in conjunction with the dry, hot weather conditions, the Southern part of Europe was hit by important forest fires that generated a huge amount of primary particles.

Modeling such a wide pollution episode is a challenging problem because models have to deal with an exceptional environment for which their parameterizations are not necessarily appropriate. For instance the formulation of classical models of dry gaseous deposition or biogenic emissions do not generally account for the exceptional deficit in soil water. In models the evaporation of anthropogenic non-methane volatile organic compounds, which is usually made function of temperature in a more or less “climatological” way, may be strongly underestimated due to extreme temperatures. For aerosols the drought increases the erodibility of soils and favors forest fires, phenomena which are generally not taken into account in an accurate manner in the emissions of chemistry-transport models (CTMs). Therefore in such extreme weather conditions there is a large degree of uncertainty in aerosol sources and physical parameterizations, leading to significant difficulties for CTMs to simulate the aerosol distribution and there is a strong need for the models to be tested against observations in cases like these.

The use of satellite measurements to assess the model performances in simulating the aerosol spatial distribution at regional scale offers a great advantage due to their wide spatial coverage. Long-term comparisons between satellite retrieved and model simulated aerosol optical properties have already been performed using global scale models in several studies (Chin et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2003; Matsui et al., 2004), but such a comparison has not been carried out at regional scale, with a much higher spatial resolution (typically 4–8 times).

The retrieval of aerosol optical thickness from satellite measurements has been attempted several times (Kaufman et al., 2002). Depending on the measurement

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

5 technique the aerosol detection was often restricted to specific regions or types of aerosols. First, the aerosol remote sensing was performed using a single wavelength and single angle of observation sensors, such as AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer), METEOSAT and GOES (Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite). Measurements performed by the TOMS (Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer) instrument were based on two wavelengths and allowed the monitoring of the transport of elevated smoke or dust layers. However, the detection by TOMS of the aerosol load over several regions known to be highly polluted was limited (Chiapello et al., 2000, Tanré et al., 2001). The POLDER (Polarization and Directionality of the Earth's Reflectances, Deschamps et al. 1994) sensor was the first instrument designed for the aerosol monitoring over land and ocean (Herman et al., 1997). The measurement technique is based on the combination of two spectral channels as well as the observation of the state of polarization of the light when reflected by atmosphere and ground. Despite those recent progresses in remote sensing of aerosols, quantitative retrieval of aerosol loads above land surfaces remains challenging because aerosols signature is small in comparison to surface one. POLDER-1 (1997) and POLDER-2 (2003) have collected respectively 8 and 7 months of observations and a new generation of POLDER instrument (PARASOL) has been successfully launched in fall 2004. Others recent sensors, such as ATSR and MODIS (Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) are producing maps of aerosols (Remer et al., 2002). Even if time overlaps between those missions is still insufficient, inter-comparisons between aerosols properties derived from those different missions (Goloub and Arino, 2000; King et al., 1999; Myhre et al., 2004) allow to improve aerosol observations. In comparison to others sensors, POLDER takes the advantage of the light polarization to detect fine aerosols over the land.

25 The aim of this study is threefold. First of all we give a description of the aerosol optical depth evolution during the August 2003 heat wave, by using the POLDER sensor measurements and simulations from the CHIMERE (Schmidt et al., 2001; Bessagnet et al., 2004) regional CTM. Second the intercomparison between POLDER optical depth,

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

the simulated one, and the equivalent values obtained by Sun photometers belonging to the AERosol ROBotic NETwork (AERONET) (Holben et al., 1998) helps validating the CHIMERE model, which contains a detailed aerosol formulation (Bessagnet et al., 2004), and helps understanding its main deficiencies and gaps in our knowledge about aerosol processes. Finally this intercomparison also helps understanding problems present in the satellite measurements and in the algorithms used to derive the atmosphere's content properties.

In the following sections, data set issued from both satellite and ground-based measurements is presented, and the AOT retrieval methods are described (Sect. 2). The CHIMERE model description and simulations are presented in Sect. 3. The comparison results between model and satellite data are discussed in Sect. 4 and finally, the origins of the model discrepancies are identified. Section 5 contains our conclusions.

2. Aerosol data and retrieval methods

Measurements used in this study are issued from both POLDER remote sensing and AERONET ground-based measurements.

2.1. POLDER data and retrieval methods

The POLDER instrument on board the ADvanced Earth Observation Satellite (ADEOS-2) was operational during 7 months between April and October 2003 (Deschamps et al., 1994). The sensor is a wide fields of view imaging radiometer that provides instantaneous measurements of spectral, directional and polarized radiance in the visible domain over land and oceans with local overpass time around 10:30 a.m.

The retrieval of aerosol optical properties from POLDER-2 is different over ocean and land surfaces. Over the ocean, basically, the inversion scheme uses the spectral variability of the total radiances between 865 and 670 nm channels (Deuzé et al., 2000). Over land, where the surface contribution to the total radiance is generally

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

large, aerosol retrieval is based on a best fit between measured polarized radiances and the ones simulated for different aerosol types (aerosol model and optical thickness) and ground surfaces conditions (Herman et al., 1997; Leroy et al., 1997; Deuzé et al., 2001). As the largest particles generate low polarization (Li et al., 2004), only the optical characteristics of the accumulation mode (radius $<0.6\ \mu\text{m}$) are derived. Thus, coarse or non spherical particles, such as desert dust are not detected by POLDER sensor because they polarize the natural light 5–10 times less than anthropogenic aerosols in the scattering angles used for the retrieval. A lognormal size distribution is assumed and the aerosol refractive index is fixed to $m=1.47-0.01i$ based on climatology. Over land surfaces (except for desert regions), departure between satellite and ground-based accumulation aerosol optical thickness has been shown to be less than 20–30% when medium and high anthropogenic or biomass burning aerosol loadings are considered. Discrepancies could reach 100% and more for small aerosol amount. Over the ocean, the retrieval errors on the accumulation aerosol optical thickness is less than 15% when corresponding optical thickness is larger than 0.08 at 670 nm.

In this study, we use the POLDER Level-2 AOT data at 865 nm and Angstrom exponent coefficients derived from AOT at 670 and 865 nm, corresponding to aerosol fine mode (radius less than $0.6\ \mu\text{m}$) and including anthropogenic aerosols, smoke and dust fine fraction. Data are corrected from cloud contamination. Finally, for all model/observation comparisons, we average POLDER data over each cell of the CHIMERE regional $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ longitude–latitude grid.

2.2. AERONET ground-based measurements

The aerosol optical depth is also measured by ground-based CIMEL Sun photometers in the AERosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET; Holben et al., 1998). For comparisons with model and POLDER data, AOT data at 870 nm corresponding to the POLDER overpass and to clear-sky conditions according to the POLDER cloud mask, have been selected in the AERONET database at 14 European monitoring sites (see Fig. 7b). As for POLDER data, the AERONET measurements retained for the comparison only

account for the aerosol fine mode.

3. Model simulations

3.1. General configuration

In this study atmospheric aerosols are simulated using a regional version of the chemistry-transport model CHIMERE that calculates the concentrations of both inorganic and organic aerosols, of primary and secondary origin, including primary particulate matter, mineral dust, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, secondary organic species and water. The aerosol population is represented using a sectional approach, considering 11 size bins geometrically spaced from 10 nm to 20 μ m diameter and particles internally mixed in each size section. Detailed description of the model configuration and performances over Europe are presented in previous studies (Bessagnet et al., 2004; Vautard et al., 2005; Hodzic et al., 2005). The simulation domain covers Western Europe, with a 0.5-deg horizontal resolution and 14 vertical sigma-pressure levels extending up to 500 hPa. The model inputs, including meteorological fields, anthropogenic emissions and boundary conditions are identical to those used in Vautard et al. (2005). The simulations are performed from 25 July to 15 August 2003, with a prior 5 day initialization spin-up run. For the comparison, the model outputs are taken at 11:00 UTC, corresponding to the satellite overpass time over Europe, only when the cloud screened POLDER data are available.

3.2. Aerosol optical thickness simulation

The aerosol optical properties are estimated from model outputs following the method described in Hodzic et al. (2004). All aerosols contributing to the optical thickness are assumed to be accounted for the model. Given the simulated aerosol size distribution and mass concentrations, the aerosol optical thickness is calculated using the Mie-

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

theory extinction coefficients depending on the aerosol refractive indexes and their hygroscopic properties.

As in POLDER data retrieval, the refractive index has been fixed to $m=1.47-0.01i$ and only particles smaller than $0.6\ \mu\text{m}$ in radius have been considered. As the refractive index depends on the aerosol composition and relative humidity (Hanel, 1976), the sensitivity study has been performed in order to evaluate the impact of this assumption on AOT values: using a variable refractive index during our study period, characterized by dry atmospheric conditions, the simulated AOT values are changed by less than 1%.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Description of the August 2003 AOT episode from POLDER data

The August 2003 pollution episode was characterized by exceptionally high aerosol optical thicknesses over Europe associated to high aerosol concentrations. The episode was particularly well captured by POLDER-2 instrument due to cloudless atmospheric conditions. Figure 1 shows the spatio-temporal evolution of the POLDER-derived AOTs over Europe from 1 to 15 August. The exceptionally wide spatial extension of this episode and the progressive accumulation of the aerosol load are clearly illustrated. The “background” AOTs increase from low values (<0.025) at the beginning of the episode (1–5 August) to higher values ($0.05\text{--}0.075$) from 6 to 13 August. Several AOT peaks (>0.25) could be noticed, especially on 3 and 4 August over Portugal and on 5 August over The Netherlands. This last AOT peak shifts towards the Eastern and Southern Germany on 6 August, and probably towards Italy on 7 August. The episode ends on 14–15 August with the arrival of a weather system and rainy weather conditions.

The exceptionality of this heat wave period in terms of aerosol optical thicknesses could be judged by performing the comparison with other summer-time periods during

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

which the POLDER-2 instrument was operational. The mean AOT values from April to October over Europe are summarized in Table 1. The mean AOT observed from 4 to 13 August reaches 0.064 and is a factor 2 higher than AOTs obtained during other summer months.

5 4.2. Cases of 5 and 11 August

We now examine in greater detail two interesting cases, 5 and 11 August. Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution of the aerosol optical thickness at 865 nm as observed by POLDER and simulated by CHIMERE model over Europe for these two days. In these figures POLDER data are averaged over the exact CHIMERE grid cells in order to facilitate comparison. On both days, POLDER AOTs exhibit more spatial variability than model simulations, with important AOT peaks (>0.25) over The Netherlands or Ireland on 5 August and over Portugal on 11 August, and generally very low values (<0.05) over southern Spain. The model simulates more homogeneous and generally higher AOTs (0.05–0.2). On 5 August the simulated AOT map is fairly different from the observed one, while on 11 August the simulated and POLDER spatial structures are similar, with maxima over the Ruhr, southern Germany, central France, Portugal and off the southern Italian coast. However in both cases the simulated “background” AOTs are about twice as large as the observed ones. The largest discrepancy between model and satellite data occurs on 05 August over The Netherlands and Ireland where the model simulates optical thicknesses 5 times smaller than observed. It reproduces neither the amplitude of the AOT signal, nor the spatial structure of the observed AOT fields. Patches of high AOT values, like on 5 August are also not simulated on 4 and 6 August, while the observed structure is better captured later in the episode. This indicates that a particular process, which is not included in the model formulation, may be present in reality.

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

4.3. Systematic comparisons

We now examine the results of the comparisons between simulated and observed AOTs in a systematic manner. Problems revealed by Fig. 2 are quite general, as suggested by Fig. 3, showing daily model and observed spatial AOT averages and the spatial correlation between the two fields during the heat wave period. The analysis is also performed over specific regions. According to Fig. 3a, half of observed AOT data over Europe ranges from 0.025 to 0.08, with a mean AOT of 0.06, while model simulations vary from 0.05 to 0.1, with mean AOT greater than 0.08. The model overestimates the mean AOT values by about 30% and the spatial correlation reaches 0.4. The time variability is fairly well reproduced by the model during the episode with an increase of AOT values due to the accumulation of the aerosol load.

Two periods can be distinguished: the period from 3 to 6 August when model and observations have similar mean AOT values, but poor correlation coefficients (less than 0.3); and the period after 7 August characterized by higher correlations (0.4–0.6) and a model positive offset of 30–50%. Furthermore, the comparison performed separately over ocean (Fig. 3b) and land (Fig. 3c) surfaces gives similar results about average AOTs. This indicates at least that the two land surface and ocean AOT retrieval algorithms are consistent in terms of average AOTs. However the spatial correlations between modeled and observed data are significantly higher over the ocean than over land, in a nearly systematic manner. This could be due to a higher uncertainty in the POLDER retrieval over land (Deuzé et al., 2001) discussed in next section.

In order to determine European areas with specific behavior in terms of model/observation comparisons, we calculate the spatial distribution of correlation coefficients obtained between observed and simulated AOTs. This correlation is now calculated as a time correlation for $1.5^{\circ} \times 1.5^{\circ}$ grid cells, containing nine model grid points. The correlation distribution is shown in Fig. 4. The spatial heterogeneity of correlation coefficients shows the regions where major inconsistencies between model and satellite data are expected. Lower correlations (<0.3) are found over the Benelux

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

countries, North of Portugal and Eastern Mediterranean where generally higher AOT values are observed.

The quantitative comparison performed over the regions highlighted in Fig. 4 is shown in Figs. 3d–f. Again two distinct problems are identified: i) the general positive difference between model and POLDER AOTs during the whole comparison period and over all regions, and ii) the inability of the model to simulate the AOT peaks observed on 5–6 August over Northern Europe and 3–5 August over Portugal. Over Mediterranean areas the model exhibits the general positive bias as compared to POLDER. It must be noticed that our results should not be much affected by the presence (or the absence) of Saharan dust particles, as the associated aerosol particles mostly lie in the coarse mode while the AOT thickness discussed here only account for aerosols in the fine mode. Therefore the relatively low correlations over this area cannot be due to the difficulty of the model to simulate Saharan dust. We now give tentative explanations for these problems.

4.4. On the origin of the systematic difference between model and POLDER data

The systematic difference between average model and observed AOTs suggests that either the model significantly overestimates the aerosol load in these regions, or the POLDER retrievals underestimate the background aerosol levels due to the uncertainties in aerosol retrieval algorithms. In order to answer this question, we intercompare model and observations coming from POLDER and AERONET only when and where these data are simultaneously available during the heat wave event. Results are summarized in Fig. 5. There is also a clear systematic difference between POLDER and Sun photometer AOTs, even though the correlation between the two sets of data is relatively high, 0.72. Now CHIMERE AOTs compare much better (in amplitude) with AERONET AOTs than with POLDER AOTs. However, the CHIMERE/POLDER and CHIMERE/AERONET correlation coefficients are comparable and reach respectively 0.64 and 0.61 if the episode of 5–6 August is excluded. This indicates that the previously discussed systematic model overestimation of satellite data may be related to a

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**A. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

negative bias in POLDER retrievals. On Fig. 5b we display the ratio of the POLDER-
to AERONET-derived AOT data as a function of the Angstrom exponent. Values below
one correspond to POLDER underestimation. The Angstrom exponent indicates that
POLDER underestimation is more important for larger aerosol particles in the accumu-
lation mode than for smaller ones.

The underestimation of the POLDER AOT at 865nm is consistent with previous
POLDER validation studies (Deuzé et al., 2001) and can be explained by large un-
certainties in the aerosol retrievals from satellite data. The satellite underestimation
could be associated to numerous factors such as (i) uncertainties in the surface polar-
ization correction, (ii) underestimation in the detection of fine fraction of dust particles,
or (iii) the incorrect choice of the aerosol model used for the retrieval of aerosol optical
properties. According to Deuzé et al. (2001) and Nadal and Bréon (1999), the surface
polarization contribution used in POLDER retrievals is not accurate enough and may
lead to large errors in low AOT conditions.

Figure 5a, and the comparison with AERONET data shows the probable absence
of bias in the model AOTs. However, as discussed below, the model largely underes-
timates some of the Sun photometer data on 5 and 6 August, in agreement with the
previous comparison with POLDER data. This problem is discussed now.

4.5. AOT peaks and the advection of smoke particles from Portugal forest fires

Comparison results obtained for Northern Europe (Fig. 3e) and the Portugal region
(Fig. 3f), show the difficulty of the model to reproduce the intense AOT peaks observed
during the period from 3 to 6 August. These exceptionally high AOT values are found
in satellite retrievals on 3 and 4 August over Portugal and on 5 and 6 August over
Benelux. The poor agreement between model and satellite data also results in low
correlation coefficients.

Numerous factors could be incriminated for these problems such as (i) cloud contam-
ination in the POLDER data or (ii) errors in model simulations including uncertainties
in aerosol parameterisation, missing processes or sources. The satellite data for this

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

period have been carefully screened. We examined the fine mode Angstrom exponent which is a good indicator of the particle size and could be used to detect the presence of clouds and dust aerosols associated with lower Angstrom values, typically from 0.3 to 1. The POLDER-derived Angstrom exponent ranges from 2 to 2.5 and indicates that aerosols over Portugal and The Netherlands are mainly composed of small particles present in polluted air masses, which eliminates the possibility of cloud and dust contamination.

Several reasons led us to believe that the model is missing a key source of aerosols during this period, due to the violent wildfires that occurred in many areas, especially in central Portugal. First, routine aerosol measurements (air quality monitoring, <http://www.lml.rivm.nl/>) do not display particularly high ground concentration of aerosol particles (PM₁₀) on 5 August. The model simulated aerosol ground concentrations are of comparable magnitude to the observed ones (in the range 15–35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ at 11:00 UTC). Thus anthropogenic pollution is not responsible for the high AOT values above The Netherlands, and high AOTs are most likely due to elevated aerosol layers. The presence of such elevated aerosol layers is confirmed by backscatter lidar vertical profiles at 1064 nm (Fig. 6) obtained at Cabauw (51.97° N, 4.93° E, The Netherlands) on 5 August at 11:00 UTC: profiles clearly show aerosols capped in a layer ranging from 3 to 4 km.

Since these aerosols are not locally produced, they are certainly long-ranged transported. To assess this statement we calculated NOAA Hysplit model (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory, <http://www.arl.noaa.gov>) back-trajectories ending over the Netherlands on 05 August at altitudes of 3 to 4 km. The back-trajectories (not shown) clearly indicate that the elevated aerosol layer located over The Netherlands crosses the Portuguese forest fire zone and also the high AOT zone located over the north of Portugal on 2–3 August. According to MODIS satellite images, during this period (2 and 3 August) the north of Portugal faced an extreme forest fire event that generated the important smoke plumes (<http://rapidfire.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/>) that could have been transported far away from their sources. This suggests that the

huge AOTs observed in Portugal and over The Netherlands have the same origin: the Portuguese forest fires.

The POLDER image of 5 August (Fig. 7a) also indicates that the fires smoke have been transported across the Bay of Biscay to Northern Europe. Parts of the aerosol cloud can be seen over the Benelux area as well as over the British Isles and Ireland. We confirm this finding by, carrying out “passive tracer” runs with the CHIMERE model. A passive tracer (no chemistry and deposition) is introduced in the model in order to account for the emission of primary aerosols due to forest fires and their subsequent transport in the atmosphere. The tracer is emitted, with an arbitrary amplitude within the lower troposphere column (ground to 4 km) from 2 (10:00 UTC) to 4 August (23:00 UTC) over the model grid cells corresponding to active fire spots (-8° W, 40° N) detected by MODIS Rapid Response System (NASA/GSFC, <http://rapidfire.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/>). Figure 7b shows the location of the simulated smoke plume on 5 August over Europe. The tracer is actually transported to an altitude of 3–4 km in the anticyclonic flux from Portugal to Northern Europe, across Great Britain. These results are consistent with previous back-trajectories, lidar data, and also the similarity between the structures in Figs. 7a and b is striking. This model result, together with the other information we had, confirms that carbonaceous aerosols advected from forest fires in Portugal are the most probable origin of the high AOT observed over The Netherlands on 5 August.

4.6. Evidence of huge smoke thermals

Another important finding concerns the tracer’s injection altitude required for the propagation of the fire smoke. Due to their high temperature the fire-emitted carbonaceous particles are directly injected into the atmosphere up to 3 to 4 km of altitude and may be transported on several hundred kilometers. The additional tracer sensitivity tests demonstrated that the classical convective scheme used in the model is not efficient enough to represent the thermal updraft of fire smoke plumes. In order to determine the original altitude of the emitted smoke plume, tracers have been emitted function of the

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

atmospheric stability (Fig. 8), respectively within the boundary layer (BL) and into the free troposphere (FT). The boundary layer emitted particles usually stay in the mixed layer during the day and are partially capped into a residual layer when the boundary layer collapses and could be long-ranged transported. Figure 8 shows that the boundary layer tracer (BL) is transported further to the Atlantic Ocean and does not explain the concentrations observed over the Netherlands. Only the tracer (FT) directly emitted in the free troposphere reaches the Netherlands and explains the patterns observed in POLDER data. This experiment constitute an undeniable proof that smoke particles emitted at the surface are directly injected into the free troposphere up to 3–4 km of altitude and long-range transported until Northern Europe.

5. Summary and conclusion

This study attempted to describe the aerosol optical thicknesses encountered during the great European heat wave of August 2003 by comparing data derived from the POLDER-2 satellite sensor, the AERONET ground-based measurements and simulations from the regional chemistry-transport model CHIMERE. It is demonstrated that the period from 1 to 15 August has a exceptionally high load of aerosol: the observed mean AOT is a factor of 2 higher than during other summer 2003 months, which were also generally polluted months.

The model/observation comparison shows a fair agreement between the main spatial structures. However the model accumulation-mode AOTs are systematically higher than the POLDER AOTs (at 865 nm). The comparison with AERONET ground-based measurements indicates that this difference results from a negative bias in the POLDER retrievals. This bias seems to occur both for land and ocean types of surfaces and was reported in previous studies (Deuzé et al., 2000, Deuzé et al., 2001). The amplitude of the simulated AOTs is in better agreement with sun photometer data than with POLDER data. However POLDER data have a spatial coverage that allows the evaluation of the model at the scale of the European continent on a grid while

AERONET data do not.

There are features that are not reproduced at all by the model, especially during the 2–6 August period over Portugal, The Netherlands, parts of the British Isles and Ireland, where AOTs are very high by patches. We demonstrate that these aerosol “clouds” are likely to come from the intense forest fires that occurred over Portugal at the beginning of August 2003. The smoke particles generated by the forest fires are transported far away from their sources and affect significantly the aerosol optical properties over Europe during the heat wave episode. The sporadic emissions of aerosols due to forest fires or dust events are not accounted in the current model which dominates the underestimations of the simulated aerosol optical properties during the beginning of the heat wave period.

This comparison highlighted the unique advantage of the use of satellite data to assess model performances, but also the difficulties and limits of this type of verification due to large uncertainties involved in satellite and model retrievals of aerosol optical properties.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge R. Armante and C. Pietras, Pierre-Simon Laplace Institute, France, for their technical support. We are grateful to the Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales (CNES) for providing POLDER data used in this study. We also thank AERONET Principal Investigators for collecting and providing the Sun photometer data needed to accomplish this study. This research has been financially supported by ADEME and AIRPARIF.

References

- Bessagnet, B., Hodzic, A., Vautard, R., Beekmann, M., Cheinet, S., Honoré, C., Liousse, C., and Rouil, L.: Aerosol modeling with CHIMERE – Preliminary evaluation at the continental scale, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 2803–2817, 2004.
- Chiappello, I., Goloub P., Tanré, D., et al.: Aerosol detection by TOMS and POLDER over oceanic regions, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105 (D6), 7133–7142, 2000.

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Duncan, B. N., Martin, R. V., Logan, J. A., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the GO-CART model and comparisons with satellite and sun photometer measurements, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 59, 461–483, 2004.

5 Deschamps, P. Y., Bréon, F. M., Leroy, M., Podaire, A., Bricaud, A., Buriez, J. C., and Seze, G.: The POLDER Mission: Instrument Characteristics and Scientific Objectives, *IEEE Trans. Geosc. Rem. Sens.* 32, 598–615, 1994.

Deuzé, J. L., Goloub, P., Herman, M., Marchand, A., Perry, G., Susana, S., and Tanré, D.: Estimate of the aerosol properties over the ocean with POLDER on ADEOS-1, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105 (D12), 15329–15346, 2000.

10 Deuzé, J. L., Breon, F. M., Devaux, C., Goloub, P., Herman, M., Lafrance, B., Maignan, F., Marchand, A., Nadal, F., Perry, G., and Tanre, D.: Remote sensing of aerosols over land surfaces from POLDER-ADEOS-1 polarized measurements *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmo*, 106 (D5), 4913–4926, 2001.

15 Goloub, P. and Arino, O.: Verification of the consistency of POLDER aerosol index over land with ATSR-2/ERS-2 fire product, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27 (6), 899–902, 2000.

Hänel, G.: The properties of atmospheric aerosols as functions of the relative humidity at thermodynamic equilibrium with the surrounding moist air, *Adv. Geophys.* 19, 73–188, 1976.

Herman, M., Deuzé, J. L., Devaux, C., Goloub, P., Bréon, F. M., and Tanré, D.: Remote sensing of aerosols over land surfaces including polarization measurements and application to POLDER measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(D14), 17039–17050, doi:10.1029/96JD02109, 1997.

20 Hodzic, A., Chepfer, H., Vautard, R., Chazette, P., Beekmann, M., Bessagnet, B., Chatenet B., Cuesta, J., Drobinski, P., Goloub, P., Haeffelin, M., and Morille, Y.: Comparison of aerosol chemistry-transport model simulations with lidar and sun-photometer observations at a site near Paris, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D23201, doi:10.1029/2004JD004735, 2004.

Hodzic, A., Vautard, R., Bessagnet, B., Lattuati, M., and Moreto, F.: Long-term urban aerosol simulation versus routine particulate matter observations, *Atmos. Environ.*, accepted for publication, 2005.

30 Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Sluster, I., Tanré, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Nakajima, T., Lavenu, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, Z.: AERONET-A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, *Rem. Sens. Environ.*, 66, 1–16, 1998.

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over EuropeA. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

- Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., and Boucher, O.: A satellite view of aerosols in the climate system, *Nature*, 2002.
- King, M. D., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., and Nakajima, T.: Remote sensing of Tropospheric aerosols from Space: Past, Present, and Future, *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.*, 80, 2229–2259, 1999.
- 5 Leroy, M., Deuzé, J. L., Bréon, F. M., Hautecoeur, O., Herman, M., Buriez, J. C., Tanre, D., Bouffies, S., Chazette, P., and Roujean, J. L.: Retrieval of atmospheric properties and surface bidirectional reflectances over the land from POLDER, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 17 023–17 037, 1997.
- 10 Li, Z., Goloub, P., Devaux, C., Gu, X., Qiao, Y., Zhao, F., Chen, H.B, and Chatenet, B.: Aerosol polarized phase function and single-scattering albedo retrieved from ground-based measurements, *Atmospheric Research*, 71, 233–241, 2004.
- Myhre, G., Stordal, F., Johnsrud, M., Ignatov, A., Mischenko, M. I., Geogdzhayev I. V., Tanre, D., Deuze, J. L., Goloub, P., Nakajima, T., Higurashi, A., Torres, O., and Holben, B.: Intercomparison of satellite retrieved aerosol optical depth over the ocean, *J. Atmospheric Sciences*, 61 (5), 499–513, 2004.
- 15 Nadal, F. and Bréon, F. M.: Parameterization of surface polarized reflectance derived from POLDER spaceborne measurements, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 37, 1709–1718, 1999.
- 20 Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Mattoo, S., Levy, R., Chu, D. A., Holben, B., Dubovik, N. O., Ahmad, Z., Smirnov, A., Vanderlei Martins, J., and Rong-Rong, L.: Validation of MODIS Aerosol Retrieval Over Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, doi:10.1029/GL013204, 2002.
- Schmidt, H. and Martin, D.: Adjoint sensitivity of episodic ozone in the Paris area, to emissions on the continental scale, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, D17, 4313, 2003.
- 25 Tanré, D., Bréon, F. M., Deuzé, J. L., et al: Global observation of anthropogenic aerosols from satellite, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28 (24): 4555–4558, 2001.
- Vautard R., Bessagnet B., Chin M. and Menut L.: On the contribution of natural Aeolian sources to particulate matter concentrations in Europe: Testing hypotheses with a modelling approach, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, Issue 18, 3291–3303, 2005.
- 30

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.

Table 1. POLDER-2 mean AOT values from April to October 2003.

Months	Mean AOT	Standard Deviation	Number of Data (grid cells)
April	0.046	0.0066	1 752 969
May	0.031	0.0049	1 217 425
June	0.039	0.0054	1 683 926
July	0.034	0.0066	1 810 997
August	0.052	0.0072	3 138 817
4th–13th	0.064	0.0136	1 496 892
16th–31st	0.041	0.0081	1 212 944
September	0.028	0.0041	2 119 962
October	0.022	0.0031	716 878

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 1. Fine-mode Aerosol Optical Thickness obtained from POLDER-2 data at 865 nm during the August 2003 heat wave episode (from 1 to 15 August).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**A. Hodzic et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU







Fig. 2. Geographic distribution of the fine mode aerosol optical thickness at 865 nm retrieved from the POLDER data (left) and simulated by CHIMERE model (right) over Europe on 5 and 11 August 2003. POLDER data are averaged to the grid cell of CHIMERE (0.5×0.5 deg).

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 3. Comparison of simulated vs. satellite retrieved spatial mean AOT at 865 nm and spatial correlation **(a)** over the whole European model domain; **(b)** over ocean only; **(c)** over land only; **(d)** over Mediterranean basin; **(e)** over Northern Europe and **(f)** over Portugal. These regions are defined in Fig. 4. The intervals represent 25 and 75 percentiles. Correlation coefficients are indicated by triangles.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 4. Time correlations between simulated and POLDER retrieved AOT across Europe during the summer 2003 heat wave (from 1 to 15 August 2003).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 5. (a) Scatter plots of satellite (dots) and model (crosses) retrieved vs AERONET observed AOT at 865 nm. Color points correspond to the stations influenced by smoke plume advection that occurred on 5 and 6 August. The number of used data is 78. Solid line is the 1:1 ratio and dotted lines are a factor of 2 departure. (b) The ratio of the AOT derived from POLDER and AERONET as a function of the Angstrom exponent. The location of AERONET stations is indicated in Fig. 7b.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 6. Diurnal evolution of the aerosol vertical distribution on 5 August 2003 over Cabauw, The Netherlands. The color code in arbitrary units displays the relative strength of the backscatter. An elevated aerosol layer, decoupled from the planetary boundary layer is present above 2 km altitude.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

Evolution of aerosol optical thickness over Europe

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 7. (a) POLDER-2 image (composite Red-Green-Bleu) over Europe on 5 August (courtesy of LOA and CNES/NASDA). (b) Advection of smoke particles coming from forest fires in Portugal, over Northern Europe on 5 August as simulated by CHIMERE model between 3000 and 4000 m. AERONET stations used in this study are also indicated (including ¹Avignon (9), ²Dunkerque (4), ³El Arenosillo (5), ⁴Evora (7), ⁵Fontainebleau (2), ⁶Hamburg (5), ⁷Helgoland (2), ⁸IMC Oristano (5), ⁹Ispra (10), ¹⁰Lille (3), ¹¹Oostende (3), ¹²Palaiseau (6), ¹³Palencia (1), ¹⁴Toulon (7), ¹⁵Toulouse (8); the number of AERONET data available at each station is indicated in brackets).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Evolution of aerosol
optical thickness
over Europe**

A. Hodzic et al.




Fig. 8. ransport of smoke particles from forest fires in Portugal through Europe as simulated by CHIMERE model. Vertical profiles of boundary layer (BL) and free troposphere (FT) emitted tracers (a.u: arbitrary unit) are presented at different locations.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU