

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.

Particle formation in the Arctic free troposphere during the ASTAR 2004 campaign: a case study on the influence of vertical motion on the binary homogeneous nucleation of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$

F. Khosrawi¹, J. Ström², A. Minikin³, and R. Krejci⁴

¹MISU, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

²Norwegian Polar Institute, Tromsø, Norway

³DLR, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

⁴ITM, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Received: 31 August 2009 – Accepted: 2 October 2009 – Published: 20 October 2009

Correspondence to: F. Khosrawi (farah@misu.su.se)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

During the ASTAR (Arctic Study of Tropospheric Aerosol and Radiation) campaign nucleation mode particles (4 to 13 nm) were quite frequently observed at altitudes below 4000 m. However, in the upper free troposphere, nucleation mode particles were only observed once, namely during the flight on 24 May 2004 (7000 m). To investigate if vertical motion are the reason for this difference that on one particular day nucleation mode particles were observed but not on the other days we employ a microphysical box model. The box model simulations were performed along air parcel trajectories calculated 6-d backwards based on European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) meteorological analyses using state parameters such as pressure and temperature in combination with additional parameters such as vertical stability. Box model simulations were performed for the 24 May where nucleation mode particles were observed (nucleation event) as well as for the day with measurements before and after (22 and 26 May) which are representative for no nucleation (none nucleation event). A nucleation burst was simulated along all trajectories, however, in the majority of the simulations the nucleation rate was either too low or too high so that no nucleation mode particles were left at the time were the measurements were performed. Further, the simulation results could be divided into three cases. Thereby, we found that for case 1 the temperature was the only driving mechanism while for case 2 and 3 vertical motion have influenced the formation of new particles. The reason why nucleation mode particles were observed on 24 May, but not on the other day, can be explained by the conditions under which particle formation occurred. On 24 May the particle formation was caused by a slow updraft, while on the other two days the particle formation was caused by a fast updraft.

ACPD

9, 21959–21992, 2009

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols affect the Earth's climate either directly or indirectly. The direct effect is associated with aerosol particles absorbing and scattering the incoming solar radiation while the indirect effect is due to the potential of atmospheric aerosols to serve as cloud condensation nuclei. Clouds will reflect the incoming solar radiation while absorbing and emitting the long-wave radiation. Aerosol formation processes are still not entirely understood. Further, there are still high uncertainties in the current global climate model simulations for predicting the future climate due to our limited understanding of the radiation effect of aerosols and clouds (IPCC, 2007).

The nucleation (gas-to-particle) conversion is a source for new particles in the atmosphere. The classical mechanism for the formation of aerosol particles from condensable gases is the binary homogeneous nucleation of sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) and water (H_2O). However, new aerosol particles can also be formed by other nucleation mechanisms like e.g. the ternary homogeneous nucleation involving ammonia (e.g., Coffmann and Hegg, 1995; Korhonen et al., 1999; Napari et al., 2002) and the ion-mediated nucleation (e.g., Yu and Turco, 2000; Lee et al., 2003; Lovejoy et al., 2004). Laboratory experiments have suggested that in polluted areas nucleation of new particles may even take place inside clouds through condensation of organic vapors (Kulmala et al., 2006). New particle formation events have been observed at a number of sites around the world (Kulmala et al., 2004). Atmospheric measurements and numerical simulations have shown that the binary homogeneous nucleation of H_2SO_4 and H_2O occurs mainly in the upper tropical troposphere due to the low temperatures in that region (Brock et al., 1995). However, new particle formation has been observed by aircraft measurements also in the midlatitude free/upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (de Reus et al., 1998, 1999; Lee et al., 2003; Minikin et al., 2003; Hermann et al., 2003; Young et al., 2007) as well as in the Arctic free troposphere (Brock et al., 1989; Weber et al., 2003).

The observed particle formation events in the free troposphere and lower strato-

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**F. Khosrawi et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

sphere were often dynamically induced. Hermann et al. (2003) used aerosol size distributions measured over three years on a German commercial aircraft in the latitude range from 5° to 50° and found that photochemistry and solar radiation (tropics) as well as atmospheric dynamics (midaltitudes) were the driving forces for aerosol formation in the tropopause regions. One of the dynamical processes that can trigger aerosol formation in the upper troposphere is stratosphere-troposphere exchange (de Reus et al., 1998; Khosrawi and Konopka, 2003; Young et al., 2007). However, several observations in the free troposphere showed that new particle formation can also occur in connection with convection and thus vertical motion (e.g. de Reus et al., 1999; Twohy et al., 2002; Benson et al., 2008). Young et al. (2007) e.g. showed that new particle formation events were associated with vertical motion that may have brought higher concentrations of water vapor and aerosol precursors from lower altitudes to higher altitudes where temperatures and surface areas are lower.

The Arctic Study of Tropospheric Aerosol and Radiation (ASTAR) 2004 was conducted from 18 May to 10 June from Longyearbyen, Svalbard, Norway (78° N, 15° E). This time had been chosen to span the transition period of the boundary layer Arctic aerosol between spring (frequent Arctic haze events) and summer conditions (low influence from anthropogenic sources at lower altitudes). Long-term ground-based observations during this transition period have shown that a systematic change of aerosol properties occurs. This process regularly takes place in a relatively short period during late spring (e.g. Bodhaine, 1989; Ström et al., 2003; Engvall et al., 2008). Details of the campaign as well as some other results deduced from the measurements can be found in e.g. Engvall et al. (2008) and Gayet et al. (2007). Here, we will present micro-physical box model simulations of particle formation in the Arctic free troposphere and investigate if vertical motion were involved in the particle formation. We use a micro-physical box model which has been developed and applied to investigate the formation and growth of H₂SO₄/H₂O aerosols in the upper troposphere (Khosrawi and Konopka, 2003; Khosrawi et al., 2006) and lower stratosphere (Blum et al., 2006). Nucleation mode particles were quite frequently observed during ASTAR 2004 at lower altitudes,

but only on one occasion (24 May 2004) at higher altitudes (7000 m). Box model simulations were performed for the 24 May as well as for the 22 and 26 May which were representative for none nucleation events.

2 ASTAR 2004 campaign

5 The aim of the ASTAR 2004 campaign was to investigate aerosol properties in the transition period from Arctic haze to background conditions. Thereby, the main objectives of the campaign were the determination of the vertical structure of the chemical, physical and optical properties (referring to direct climate effect) as well as the investigation of cloud microphysical properties in the Arctic as a function of different tropospheric aerosol load and the regional extend of aerosol and cloud structures (referring to indirect climate effect). The campaign involved two aircrafts, the Polar 2 and the Polar 4. The Polar 2 carried the cloud physics instrumentation as well as an aerosol lidar while the Polar 4 payload was focused on aerosol in situ measurements. The Polar 4 is a research aircraft of the Dornier 228-200 type owned by the Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research (AWI) in Bremerhaven and operated by the DLR flight facility department. It is a two-engine turboprop aircraft well capable of operating under 15 the harsh conditions of the polar environment. The aircrafts fly at a cruising speed of around 80 m s^{-1} .

2.1 In-situ observations

20 During the ASTAR 2004 campaign 19 mission flights were performed by the Polar 4 in total. An overview over all flight performed during ASTAR 2004 can be found in Engvall et al. (2008) or at the TROLL homepage (<http://www.pa.op.dlr.de/aerosol/troll/t-frame.html>). In this study, we use observations performed with the instrumentation from the Atmospheric Science Unit at the Department of Environmental Science (ITM), 25 Stockholm University and from the Institute of Atmospheric Physics at the Deutsches

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR). From the instruments operated by these two groups, microphysical properties of the aerosol in the size range from 4 to 3500 nm was obtained. On board the Polar 4 were a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC) which was used to obtain the total aerosol number density for particles larger than 10 nm. The aerosol size distribution over the range between 20 and 2200 nm was derived from simultaneous measurements made with a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) and an Optical Particle Counter (CPC).

The uncertainty in the particle number concentration measured by the CPC and OPC instruments depends on the counting statistics or Poisson statistics. The standard deviation is given by the square root of the number of particles counted during a sampling period. The counting error for the CPC is smaller than 1% for number densities above 100 cm^{-3} and less than 3% for concentrations above 10 cm^{-3} , respectively. For the OPC, a grand average distribution was calculated, where the average was less than 6% for sizes smaller than 1000 nm (Engvall et al., 2008).

Particles with a diameter of 0.31 to $31 \mu\text{m}$ were measured using a Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe (FSSP-300). Generally, the uncertainty of these measurements is less than 1% for particles smaller than 2800 nm and less than 10% for particles smaller than $15 \mu\text{m}$ (Baumgardner et al., 1992). The number concentration of nucleation mode particles was measured with a Condensation Particle Size Analyzer (CPSA), which measures the particle number densities with a temporal resolution of 1 s. The accuracy is estimated to be within 5%. The instrument consists of four Butanol-operated condensation particle counter modules. During ASTAR 2004 two of the four CPSA modules were operated to determine the total number of particles larger than 4 and larger than 13 nm, thus allowing to infer the number of particles between 4 nm and 13 nm. The other two channels were used to determine the integrated relative fractions of volatile and non-volatile particles larger than 13 nm.

CPSA derived number concentrations were corrected for coincidence effects and efficiencies determined in the laboratory. Corrections for diffusion losses of small particles in the tubing systems have not been made because this requires accurate knowledge

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

on the size distribution in the ultrafine size range. One can estimate however that less than 10% of particles of 10 nm size and about 35% of particles of 4 nm size may get lost in the sampling system due to diffusion losses.

During the ASTAR 2004 campaign nucleation mode particles were quite frequently observed at altitudes below 4000 m. However, in the upper free troposphere nucleation mode particles were only observed once, namely on 24 May. On 24 May nucleation mode particles (4 to 13 nm) were observed with the CPSA in the free troposphere at an altitude of ≈ 7000 m (around 09:00 UTC). The flight on 24 May 2004 was performed in the morning from 06:45 to 09:45 UTC under clear sky conditions. Particle numbers of the nucleation mode particles measured during that flight were about a few hundred particles per cm^3 (Fig. 2). The flight on 24 May 2004 was performed in the area of Storfjoden. The flights before and after this flight with the nucleation event were performed on the 22 May and 26 May, respectively. On 22 May the flight was performed in the vicinity of Ny Ålesund while the flight on 26 May was performed down stream of Svalbard (during both flights some low clouds were present; low scattered clouds on 22 May and a stratus layer on 26 May).

3 Microphysical box model

A microphysical aerosol box model was used to investigate if the binary homogeneous nucleation of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ aerosols could have formed the nucleation mode particles observed on 24 May 2004 during the ASTAR 2004 campaign. The processes implemented in the microphysical box model are besides the binary homogeneous nucleation of H_2SO_4 and H_2O , the Brownian coagulation and the diffusive uptake of H_2O and nitric acid (HNO_3) on the liquid aerosol particle. The uptake of HNO_3 and H_2O by the liquid aerosol particles is calculated by solving the growth and evaporation equation (Pruppacher and Klett, 1978) and using the parameterisation of Luo et al. (1995) for the partial pressures of H_2O and HNO_3 . The binary homogeneous nucleation rate is calculated as described by Kärcher (1998) by using a revised form (Jaeger-Voirol

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



et al., 1987) with corrections due to the formation of gaseous sulfuric acid hydrates (Laaksonen and Kulmala, 1991). The coagulation of aerosol particles is calculated by using the coagulation kernel of Fuchs (1977). Owing to the coupled uptake of H_2O and HNO_3 , the H_2SO_4 in the particles is considered as passive while the H_2O and HNO_3 are considered as active components. The condensation of H_2SO_4 on the preexisting $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ aerosol is not considered in the box model. However, this should not affect our results since H_2SO_4 condensation is more important for aerosol growth in the lower troposphere than in the upper troposphere.

The box model is initialised with a particle ensemble that contains pure $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ aerosols. The H_2O in the aerosol particle is assumed to be always in equilibrium with the surrounding air. The considered particle ensemble is divided geometrically into N radial size bins. Therefore, the size width bin increases exponentially, which has the advantage that the required wide range of particle dimensions can be covered with a fair resolution (Kärcher, 1998). The aerosol number density in the size bins is calculated by using a log-normal distribution. For each size bin, the time evolution is calculated along air parcel trajectories considering nucleation, coagulation and condensation processes. The model equations set up a nonlinear, coupled system of ordinary differential equations which are solved using a semi-implicit Euler method (Deufhard, 1985).

3.1 Air parcel trajectories

Air parcel trajectories were calculated by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) backwards for 6 d based on ECMWF analyses with TRAJKS (Scheele et al., 1996; Stohl et al., 2001) using a time resolution of $\Delta t = 1$ h. On 24 May the trajectory was started at 09:00 UTC at $22.5^\circ \text{E}/78.2^\circ \text{N}$ at two altitudes (6000 and 7000 m). These two altitudes were selected to cover the altitude range over which nucleation took place. Additionally, trajectories were calculated starting on 22 May (09:30 UTC $11.0^\circ \text{E}/78.9^\circ \text{N}$) and 26 May (16:00 UTC $10.0^\circ \text{E}/78.5^\circ \text{N}$). These days are representative for days without observation of nucleation mode particles. The path of these three trajectories are shown in Fig. 1. Further, trajectories were calculated starting at the fol-

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



lowing coordinates which represents a grid over the measuring area: 10.0° E 77.0° N, 10.0° E 80.5° N, 28.0° E/80.5° N, 28.0° E/77.0° N and 19.0° E/78.7° N. The purpose of this grid was to investigate nucleation properties also in the surroundings of the measurement area. In total 36 back trajectories were calculated with TRAJKS. Additionally to temperature and pressure the following parameters were available along the trajectories provided by KNMI: moisture mixing ratio, liquid water content and ice water content, cloud fraction, dry stability and wet stability. Additionally to these parameters the updraft velocity and the cooling rate were calculated along the back trajectories. The dry stability is defined as the change of potential temperature Θ with altitude while the wet stability is defined as change of the equivalent potential temperature Θ_e with altitude (using pressure p as vertical coordinate). Dry and wet stability ($\gamma_d = \frac{d\Theta}{dp}$ and $\gamma_w = \frac{d\Theta_e}{dp}$) are used here as a proxy to investigate if vertical motion have occurred along the back trajectories.

A neutral or unstable atmosphere will cause an updraft and thus a cooling of the air parcel while a stable atmosphere will tend to inhibit vertical motion and thus keep the air parcel at its original position. A stable atmosphere is defined by $\frac{d\Theta}{dp} > 0$ and $\frac{d\Theta_e}{dp} > 0$, respectively, while for a neutral atmosphere $\frac{d\Theta}{dp} = 0$ and $\frac{d\Theta_e}{dp} = 0$, respectively, and for an unstable atmosphere $\frac{d\Theta}{dp} < 0$ and $\frac{d\Theta_e}{dp} < 0$, respectively.

4 Results

4.1 Box model simulations

The box model simulations were performed along the trajectories described above for the 24 May 2004, the day with the nucleation event as well as for the days before and after (22 and 26 May 2004) which were representative for none nucleation events. The simulations were performed with the same time resolution as for the air parcel trajectories ($\Delta t = 1$ h). The processes that are considered in the box model simulations

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**F. Khosrawi et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

performed here are nucleation and coagulation. Note, the trajectories were calculated backwards in time, but the model simulations are performed forward in time, thus, the ending point of the trajectory is the start point of the simulation and the simulation ends at the time and the location where the back trajectories were started. The box model was initialised with aerosol distributions taken from the in-situ measurements made with the DMPS during the flights on 22, 24 and 26 May 2004 at 6000 and 7000 m. Thereby, log-normal size distributions were fitted to these data. The aerosol size distributions used for initialisation of the box model were divided into 46 size bins with total particle number densities of $n=50\text{--}350\text{ cm}^{-3}$, distribution widths of $\sigma=1.8\text{--}1.9$ and mean diameters of $d_m=60\text{--}140\text{ nm}$. The H_2O mixing ratios were derived from radiosonde soundings over Ny Ålesund, Svalbard ($\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}=154\text{ ppmv}$ and 150 ppmv at 6000 m on 22 and 26 May, respectively, $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}=78\text{ ppmv}$ and 98 ppm at 7000 m on 22 and 26 May, respectively, and $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}=117\text{ ppmv}$ at 6000 m on 24 May and $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}=219\text{ ppmv}$ at 7000 m on 24 May). Measurements of H_2SO_4 mixing ratios were not performed and is thus a unknown variable in this study. Measurements of sulfuric acid are rare and large-scale measurements from satellites or from a ground-based network are not available. The only measurements of sulfuric acid existing are the ones derived from balloon, aircraft or ground-based measurements during measurement campaigns. Measurements in the free troposphere were performed e.g. in the vicinity of Tenerife during the Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 2). These measurements show that the aerosol H_2SO_4 mixing ratios was typically between 10 and 120 pptv, but can reach up to 550 pptv (Curtius et al., 2001). However, during this campaign only the aerosol sulfuric acid was measured and not the gas phase sulfuric acid. Gas phase sulfuric acid concentrations are typically much lower and range between 10^5 to 10^7 molecules cm^{-3} in clean air (e.g. Eisele and Tanner, 1993) and up to 10^8 molecules cm^{-3} for polluted air (Berresheim et al., 2002; Bardouki et al., 2003).

The simulations were performed along the 36 trajectories for three different sulfuric acid mixing ratios ($\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}=1, 40$ and 80 pptv , $1\text{ pptv}=2.67\times 10^7$ molecules cm^{-3} at 0°C and 1013 hPa). Performing all these simulations (36 trajectories \times 3 sulfuric acid mixing

ratios=108 simulations) we could divide the results into three cases: (1) Nucleation occurred always at the same time step $t=2$ h (19 occurrences) independent which sulfuric acid mixing ratio was used. Here, nucleation occurred always immediately due to temperatures which were sufficiently low to initiate nucleation ($T < 235$ K at $t=1$ h).

(2) Nucleation occurred at the same time step ($t=2$ h) when H_2SO_4 mixing ratios of $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}=40$ pptv and $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}=80$ pptv were used (7 occurrences), but nucleation occurred at a different time step (e.g. $t=12$ h) when a H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}=1$ pptv was used ($T < 250$ K, thus significantly higher temperatures than for case 1). (3) Nucleation occurred for all sulfuric acid mixing ratios used at different time steps e.g. $t=17$ h, $t=416$ h and $t=2$ h (10 occurrences). Here, temperatures were between 255 and 270 K at $t=1$ h but decreased down to temperatures between 240 and 230 K along the trajectory. Figure 3 shows the simulation for the trajectory started on 24 May at 6000 m (19° E 78° N) which is representative for case 2. Along this trajectory the nucleation burst occurred at $t=25$ h for an initial H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 1 pptv and at $t=2$ h for an initial H_2SO_4 mixing ratios of 40 pptv and 80 pptv. While for case 1 the temperature was the only driving mechanism, for case 2 and 3 vertical motion could have influenced the formation of new particles. In fact, that vertical motion can influence particle formation has been previously been observed (e.g. de Reus et al., 1999; Twohy et al., 2002; Benson et al., 2008). As can be seen from the frequency of occurrence given in the brackets, the majority of cases could be classified to case 1 (see also Table 1). Thus, new particle formation was in this case study most frequently initiated by sufficiently cold temperatures.

4.1.1 Relationship between n and γ_w , γ_d and w

Here, we use atmospheric stability and vertical velocity, which were derived additionally to temperature and pressure along the trajectories, to investigate if vertical motion were involved in the particle formation. Figures 4 to 6 show the number concentration n (here the maximum number concentration of freshly nucleated particles encountered during the simulation) versus the dry γ_d (left) and wet stability γ_w (right) for the tra-

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



jectories started at 6000 m (top) and 7000 m (bottom). For case 1 (Fig. 4) the number concentration n is uniformly distributed with γ_d and γ_w at both altitudes. The number concentration is solely increasing for increasing H_2SO_4 mixing ratios. The values for γ_d and γ_w were with one exception greater than zero (6000 m and 7000 m) which can be attributed to a stable stratification in relation to dry and wet air. The exception is found at 7000 m (Fig. 4, bottom right) with a γ_w lower than zero and thus an unstable stratification. This uniformity agrees with the behaviour we found for case 1 from the box model simulations (nucleation occurs always at the same time step).

For case 2 (Fig. 5) the number densities are generally lower than for case 1 (ranging between 10^5 – 10^9 cm^{-3} compared to 10^6 – 10^{10} cm^{-3} for case 1). Case 2 was more frequently occurring at 6000 m than at 7000 m. For a H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 1 pptv n is uniformly distributed at 6000 m as for case 1 (Fig. 5 top). However, for a H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 1 pptv at 7000 m a slight decrease with increasing γ_d and γ_w is visible. This decrease is at 7000 m also visible for H_2SO_4 mixing ratios of 40 and 80 pptv. At 6000 m an increase with a subsequent decrease is found (thus a slope) for H_2SO_4 mixing ratios of 40 and 80 pptv. The values for γ_d and γ_w are somewhat lower than for case 1 but still positive. This relationship reflects case 2 as defined from the box model simulations where nucleation occurred at different time steps for 1 pptv H_2O_4 but at $t=2$ for 40 and 80 pptv H_2SO_4 . The number densities are even lower for case 3 (Fig. 6) than for case 2 and case 1 and also the dry and wet stabilities are lower (centered around zero and also encountering negative values). A uniform distribution is not visible and also no other structure between γ_d or γ_w and n is visible in this figure (Fig. 6). This relationship reflects the one defined from the box model simulations as case 3 where nucleation occurred for each sulfuric acid mixing ratio that has been used for the simulations at a different time step.

The simulated number densities n versus vertical velocity w are shown for case 1 to case 3 in Fig. 7. For the vertical velocity a similar behaviour as for the dry and wet stability is found. In Table 1 the results are summarized by giving the range of values of γ_d , γ_w , w and n for the three cases at both altitudes considered. Thereby, an

decrease from case 1 to case 3 is found for γ_d and γ_w while for w an increase in the values as well as a broader value range is found. The particle number densities are decreasing and a smaller range of values is found from case 1 and to case 3. Thus, with decreasing strength of stability (from stable to neutral-unstable) stronger vertical motion are encountered.

Considering the above described relationships between the number concentration n and the dry and wet stability γ_w and γ_d , vertical velocity w and the temperatures encountered during the simulation (see previous section), it appears that for case 1 the temperature alone is the driving force for new particle formation, while for case 2 and case 3 vertical motion could have been involved in the formation of the new particles. Table 2 shows the occurrence of the three cases separated into the three days of the ASTAR 2004 campaign and the two levels considered here (6000 and 7000 m). Case 1 is the case that in total most frequently occurred (19 occurrences), followed by case 3 (10 occurrences) and case 2 (7 occurrences). Considering each day, the 26 May was dominated by case 1 in both altitudes and the 22 May was dominated by case 3. The 24 May was also dominated by case 1 in both altitudes, but for the other cases the occurrence was only somewhat lower. The 24 May was the day where the nucleation mode particles were observed at 7000 m during the ASTAR 2004 campaign and as described all cases were present on that day but with the highest occurrence of case 1. Considering the trajectories that were started near the location where the flights were performed we find that the 22 May (11.0° E/78.9° N) as well as the 24 May (22.5° E/78.2° N) could be accounted to case 3 while the 26 May (10.0° E/78.5° N) could be accounted to case 2.

4.1.2 Comparison with measurements

The results of the model simulation are compared with the measurements to suggest which H_2SO_4 mixing ratio might have prevailed in the atmosphere. For comparing the simulation results with the measurements the sulfuric acid mixing ratio is plotted versus the number density (Fig. 8). Here, the number density at the end of the simulation

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(which agrees with the time when the flight was performed) for particles with diameters in the nucleation mode particle size range is considered. The grey shaded area in the figure marks the number density of the nucleation mode particles measured during the ASTAR campaign on 24 May 2004. In this figure the results of all trajectories are shown. The 24 May trajectory (7000 m) as well as some trajectories of the other days (22 May and 26 May) and other starting points in the grid around the measurements lie also within this area. Thereby, H_2SO_4 mixing ratios of 1 to 40 pptv seem to be sufficient. A H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 80 pptv seems to be too high for all trajectories since no data points are found in the grey shaded area. This is in agreement with measurements of gaseous H_2SO_4 which even in polluted areas showed mixing ratios lower than 80 pptv (Bardouki et al., 2003; Berresheim et al., 2002).

A better comparison with the measurements can be derived when only the trajectories are considered that were started near the location where the flights were performed (Fig. 9). The number density found at the end of the simulation for the trajectory started on 24 May (the day where nucleation mode particles were observed) at 7000 m lies within the range of the measured number densities if the trajectory is initialised with a sulfuric acid mixing ratio of $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}=40$ pptv (blue diamonds). However, a few hundred particles per cm^3 instead of a few tenths were measured during the flight and thus most likely the mixing ratio on that day was somewhat higher than 40 pptv. The trajectory started on 22 May at 7000 m at the location where the flight was performed (initialised with 1 and 40 pptv) lies also still within the area of number densities measured (red diamonds). However, on this day no nucleation mode particles were measured (thus no data points should be found in the grey shaded area). This indicates that the sulfuric acid mixing ratio must also have been somewhat higher than 40 pptv. None of the simulations for the 26 May are present in Fig. 9 since at the end of all simulations number densities for nucleation mode particles were quite low. For 1 pptv the nucleation burst along the trajectory was not strong enough to result in particles in the measured range of nucleation mode particles and for 40 and 80 pptv the nucleation burst was too strong so that the particles had already coagulated to larger sizes (not shown). Since on

**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



that day no nucleation mode particles were observed we can conclude that the H_2SO_4 mixing ratio on that day most likely had been somewhat lower than 40 pptv.

4.1.3 What caused the nucleation event on 24 May?

To investigate why nucleation mode particles were measured on 24 May, but not on 22 May or 26 May, we took a closer look to the parameters we used for the initialisation of the box model (see Sect. 4.1) and the parameters we derived from the trajectories and the box model simulations (Table 3). The box model simulations themselves show that a nucleation burst was simulated along all trajectories, however, in the majority of the simulations the nucleation rate was either too low or too high so that no nucleation mode particles were left at the end of the simulation. Thus, the question we try to answer here is why were particles in the nucleation mode size range left on 24 May but not on the other days.

The particle size distributions derived from the DMPS were quite similar for all days, thus the particle formation was not initiated by a low number density of preexisting aerosols. Further, the paths of the air mass trajectories (Fig. 1) show that the formation of new particles on 24 May can also not be simply explained by a difference in air mass origin since the trajectories on 24 May (nucleation) and 26 May (no nucleation) have the same origin. The parameters listed in Table 3 show that the 22 May was a day with a less stable atmosphere (lowest values of γ_d and highest vertical velocities) and the lowest number densities of new particles were formed on that day. The 24 May was also a less stable day but somewhat more stable than the 22 May. The vertical velocities and the number of particles formed are somewhat lower than on 22 May. The 26 May in contrast was a day with a quite stable stratification. The vertical velocities on that day were quite low but for H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 40 and 80 pptv a higher amount of particles were formed than on the other days.

Considering the temperature and pressure history along the back trajectories (not shown) we find that all trajectories show an uplifting of the air mass and according to that a decrease in temperature. However, the strength of this uplifting/cooling events

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**F. Khosrawi et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

are different on each day (which is in agreement with the parameters listed in Table 3 and discussed in the previous paragraph). The uplifting and cooling was strongest on 22 May. The air mass was lifted from 600 to 350 hPa within 20 h resulting in a temperature decrease of 30 K from 255 K to 225 K and thus can be considered as a fast updraft. On 24 May the nucleation was caused by a slow updraft. The air mass was lifted from 550 to 400 hPa in 30 h which results in a temperature decrease of 25 K from 255 to 230 K during that time period. On 26 May which was most stable stratified the air mass was only lifted from 380 to 340 hPa in 10 h with a temperature decrease of 12 K from 234 to 226 K. Thus, while on 22 May and 24 May the nucleation event was caused by vertical motion (case 3) the nucleation event on 26 May was induced by a combination between vertical motion and other factors like an already sufficient low temperature and a sufficient high H₂SO₄ mixing ratio (case 2). Another difference between the trajectories is that the updraft occurred earliest on 26 May (at the begin of the simulation), but somewhat later on 22 May (\approx at $t=10$ h) and latest on 24 May (\approx at $t=40$ h).

Thus, we can conclude that the reason why nucleation mode particles were observed on 24 May, but not on 22 May and 26 May was the conditions under which this nucleation event occurred. The nucleation event on 24 May was caused by a slow updraft that lifted and cooled the air mass and resulted in moderate number of newly formed particles. Due to the fact that the nucleation event occurred later than on the other days (thus closer to time of the measurements) not all newly formed particles were removed due to coagulation until the measurements were performed. Our results are in agreement with Benson et al. (2008) who also found differences in the strength of new particles formed due to slow and fast updrafts.

5 Conclusions

The ASTAR 2004 campaign was performed to investigate aerosol properties in the transition period from Arctic haze to background conditions. Measurements were per-

formed during 19 flights in the time period from 10 May to 7 June 2004. Nucleation mode particles were observed quite frequently in the lower troposphere. However, nucleation mode particles were only observed once in the upper free troposphere (on 24 May at 7000 m). The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of vertical motion on the particle formation. Therefore, microphysical box model simulations were performed for the ASTAR 2004 campaign on three days (nucleation/no nucleation) along trajectories that were calculated based on ECMWF meteorological analyses. Due to the lack of measurements of H_2SO_4 the simulations were performed using three different mixing ratios (1, 40 and 80 pptv). The simulation results could be divided into three cases. (1) Nucleation occurs immediately at the begin of the simulation as temperatures were low enough to initiate particle nucleation, (2) as case 1, but for $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} = 1$ pptv nucleation occurs at a later point in the simulation when more favorable conditions for particle nucleation are encountered, (3) nucleation occurs at three different time steps during the simulation independent from which H_2SO_4 mixing ratio is used. Several observations in the free troposphere showed that new particle formation can occur in connection with convection and thus vertical motion (e.g. de Reus et al., 1999; Twohy et al., 2002; Benson et al., 2008). Parameters like dry and wet stability as well as vertical velocity along the trajectories were used as a proxy if vertical motion were involved in the particle formation process. Thereby, we found that for case 1 the driving force was the temperature while for case 2 and 3 vertical motions were involved in the particle formation. Considering the trajectories that were started near the location where the flights were performed we find that the 22 May as well as the 24 May could be accounted to case 3 while the 26 May could be accounted to case 2. We compared the results of the model simulations with the measurements to get an idea which H_2SO_4 mixing ratio might has prevailed in the atmosphere. Thereby, we found that the H_2SO_4 mixing ratio prevailing in the atmosphere was most likely somewhat higher than 40 pptv on 22 and 24 May, but lower than 40 pptv on 26 May. A nucleation burst was simulated along all trajectories, however, in the majority of the simulations the nucleation rate was either too low or too high so that no nucleation mode particles

**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**F. Khosrawi et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

were left at the end of the simulation. The reason why nucleation mode particles were observed on 24 May but not on 22 or 26 May is due to the conditions under which nucleation occurred on 24 May. The nucleation event on 24 May was caused by a slow updraft that lifted and cooled the air mass and resulted in moderate number of newly formed particles. Due to the fact that the nucleation event occurred later than on the other days (thus closer to the time of the measurements) not all newly formed particles were removed due to coagulation until the measurements were performed. Our results are in agreement with the findings of Benson et al. (2008) who also found different nucleation behaviours due to slow and fast updrafts. Further, our results emphasize in consistence with previous case studies (de Reus et al., 1999; Twohy et al., 2002; Benson et al., 2008) the importance of vertical motion on new particle formation.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank P. Konopka for the diffusive uptake code, B. Kärcher for the nucleation code and P. Deuffhard and U. Nowak for providing the solver for ordinary differential equations. We also would like to thank the ECMWF for providing meteorological analyses, A.-C. Engvall for providing the particle size distributions, R. Treffeisen for providing the radiosonde data, R. Scheele for providing the air parcel trajectories, S.-H. Lee and R. Müller for helpful discussions and S. Lossow for helpful comments on a previous version of the manuscript. We are also grateful to the European Commission (EU 6th Framework Programme Marie-Curie Intra-European Fellowships) and the Swedish Research Council for funding F. Khosrawi.

References

- Bardouki, H., Berresheim, H., Vrekoussis, M., Sciare, J., Kouvarakis, G., Oikonomou, K., Schneider, J., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Gaseous (DMS, MSA, SO₂, H₂SO₄ and DMSO) and particulate (sulfate and methanesulfonate) sulfur species over the northeastern coast of Crete, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1871–1886, 2003, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/1871/2003/>. 21968, 21972
- Baumgardner, D., Dye, J. E., Gandrud, B. W., and Knollenberg, R. G.: Interpretation of mea-

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**F. Khosrawi et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

surements made by the Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe (FSSP300) during the air-
borne arctic stratospheric expedition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 8035–8046, 1992. 21964

Benson, D. R., Li-Hao Young, Shan-Hu Lee, Campos, T. L., Rogers, D. C., and Jensen, J.: The
effects of air mass history on new particle formation in the free troposphere: case studies,
5 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 3015–3024, 2008,

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/3015/2008/>. 21962, 21969, 21974, 21975, 21976

Berresheim, H., Elste, T., Tremmel, H. G., Allen, A. G., Hansson, H.-C., Rosman, K.,
Del Maso, M., Mäkela, J. M., Kulmala, M., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Gas-aerosol relationship
of H₂SO₄, MSA, and OH: Observations in the coastal marine boundary layer at Mace Head,
10 Ireland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8100, doi:10.1029/2000JD000229, 2002. 21968, 21972

Blum, U., Khosrawi, F., Baumgarten, G., Stebel, K., Müller, R., and Fricke, K. H.: Simultaneous
lidar observations of a polar stratospheric cloud on the east and west sides of the Scandina-
vian mountains and microphysical box model simulations, *Ann. Geophys.*, 24, 3267–3277,
2006,

<http://www.ann-geophys.net/24/3267/2006/>. 21962

Bodhaine, B. A.: Barrow surface aerosol – 1976–1986, *Atmos. Env.*, 23, 2357–2369, 1989.
21962

Brock, C. A., Radke, L. F., Lyons, J. H., and Hobbs, P. V.: Arctic hazes in summer over greenland
and the North American Arctic: I: Incidence and origin, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 9, 129–148, 1989.
20 21961

Brock, C. A., Hamill, P., Wilson, J. C., Jonsson, H. H., and Chan, K. R.: Particle formation in the
upper tropical troposphere: A source of nuclei for the stratospheric aerosol, *Science*, 270,
1650–1653, 1995. 21961

Coffmann, D. J. and Hegg, D. A.: A preliminary study of the effect of ammonia on particle
nucleation in the marine boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 7147–7160, 1995. 21961

25 Curtius, J., Sierau, B., Arnold, F., de Reus, M., Ström, J., Scheeren, H. A., and Lelieveld, J.:
Measurement of aerosol sulfuric acid 2. Pronounced layering in the free troposphere during
the second Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 2), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 31975–
31990, 2001. 21968

30 de Reus, M., Ström, J., Kulmala, M., Pirjola, L., Lelieveld, J., Schiller, C., and Zöger, M.: Air-
borne aerosol measurements in the tropopause region and the dependence of new parti-
cle formation on preexisting number concentrations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 31155–31263,
1998. 21961, 21962

**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

de Reus, M., Ström, J., Hoor, P., Lelieveld, J., and Schiller, C.: Particle production in the lowest stratosphere by convective lifting of the tropopause, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 23935–23940, 1999. 21961, 21962, 21969, 21975, 21976

Deuflhard, P.: Recent progress in extrapolation methods for ordinary differential equations, *SIAM Rev.*, 27, 505–535, 1985. 21966

Eisele, F. L. and Tanner, D. J.: Measurement of the gas phase concentration of H_2SO_4 and methane sulfonic acid and estimates of H_2SO_4 production and loss in the atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 9001–9010, 1993. 21968

Engvall, A. C., Krejci, R., Ström, J., Minikin, A., Treffeisen, R., Stohl, A., and Herber, A.: In-situ airborne observations of the microphysical properties of Arctic tropospheric aerosol during late spring and summer, *Tellus B*, 60, 392–404, 2008. 21962, 21963, 21964

Fuchs, N. A.: *Mechanics of Aerosols*, Pergamon, New York, 1977. 21966

Gayet, J.-F., Stachlewska, I. S., Jourdan, O., Shcherbakov, V., Schwarzenboeck, A., and Neuber, R.: Microphysical and optical properties of precipitating drizzle and ice particles obtained from alternated lidar and in situ measurements, *Ann. Geophys.*, 25, 1487–1497, 2007, <http://www.ann-geophys.net/25/1487/2007/>. 21962

Hermann, M., Heintzenberg, J., Wiedensohler, A., Zahn, A., Heinrich, G., and Breninkmeijer, C. A. M.: Meridional distribution of aerosol particle number concentration in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere obtained by Civil Aircraft for Regular Investigation of the Atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container (CARIBIC) flights, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4114, doi:10.1029/2001JD001077, 2003. 21961, 21962

IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: *Climate Change 2007*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007. 21961

Jaeger-Voirol, A., Mirabel, P., and Reiss, H.: Hydrates in supersaturated binary sulfuric acid-water vapour: A reexamination, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 87, 4849–4852, 1987. 21965

Kärcher, B.: Physiochemistry of aircraft-generated liquid aerosols, soot and ice particles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 17111–17128, 1998. 21965, 21966

Khosrawi, F. and Konopka, P.: Enhancement of nucleation and condensation rates due to mixing processes in the tropopause region, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 903–910, 2003. 21962

Khosrawi, F., Müller, R., Beuermann, J., Konopka, P., and Schiller, C.: Dehydration in the northern hemisphere midlatitude tropopause region observed during stream 1998, *Tellus*, 58B, 206–217, 2006. 21962

Korhonen, P., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Viisanen, Y., McGraw, R., and Seinfeld, J. H.:

Ternary nucleation of H₂SO₄, NH₃ and H₂O in the atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 26349–26353, 1999. 21961

Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petälä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W., and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of observations, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 35, 143–176, 2004. 21961

Kulmala, M., Reisell, A., Sipilä, M., Bonn, B., Ruuskanen, T. M., Lehtinen, K. E., Kerminen, V.-M., and Ström, J.: Deep convective clouds as aerosol production engines: Role of insoluble organics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D17202, doi:10.1029/2005JD006963, 2006. 21961

Laaksonen, A. and Kulmala, M.: Homogeneous heteromolecular nucleation of acid and water vapours in stratospheric conditions: a theoretical study on the effect of hydrate interaction, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 22, 779–787, 1991. 21966

Lee, S.-H., Reeves, J. M., Wilson, J. C., Hunton, D. E., Viggiano, A. A., Miller, T. M., Ballenthin, J. O., and Lait, L. R.: Particle formation by ion nucleation in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, *Science*, 301, 1886–1889, 2003. 21961

Lovejoy, E., Curtius, J., and Froyd, K. D.: Atmospheric ion-induced nucleation of sulfuric acid and water, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D08204, doi:10.1029/2003JD004460, 2004. 21961

Luo, B., Carslaw, K. S., Peter, T., and Clegg, S. L.: Vapour pressures of H₂SO₄/HNO₃/HCl/HBr/H₂O solutions to low stratospheric temperatures, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 247–250, 1995. 21965

Minikin, A., Petzold, A., Ström, J., Krejci, R., Seifert, M., Velthoven, P. V., Schlager, H., and Schumann, U.: Aircraft observations of the upper tropospheric fine particle aerosol in the northern and southern hemispheres at midlatitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1503, doi:10.1029/2002GL016458, 2003. 21961

Napari, I., Hoppel, M., Vehkamäki, H., and Kulmala, M.: An improved model for ternary nucleation of sulfuric-acid-ammonia water, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 116, 4221–4227, 2002. 21961

Pruppacher, H. and Klett, J. D.: *Microphysics of Clouds and Precipitation*, D. Reidel, Norwell, Mass., 1978. 21965

Scheele, M. P., Sigmund, P. C., and van Velthoven, P. F. J.: Sensitivity of trajectories to data resolution and its dependence on the starting point: in or outside a tropopause fold, *Meteor. Appl.*, 3, 267–273, 1996. 21966

Stohl, A., Haimberger, L., Scheele, M. P., and Wernli, H.: An intercomparison of results from three trajectory models, *Meteor. Appl.*, 8, 127–135, 2001. 21966

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ström, J., Umegard, J., Torseth, K., Tunved, P., Hansson, H. C., Holmen, K., Wismann, V., Herber, A., and König-Langlo, G.: One year of particle size distribution and aerosol chemical composition measurements at the Zeppelin station, Svalbard, March 2000–March 2001, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 28, 1181–1190, 2003. 21962

5 Twohy, C. H., Clement, C. F., Gandrud, B. W., Weinheimer, A. J., Campos, T. L., Baumgardner, D., Brune, W. H., Faloon, I., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., and Tan, D.: Deep convection as a source of new particles in the midlatitude upper troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4560, doi:10.1029/2001JD000323, 2002. 21962, 21969, 21975, 21976

10 Weber, R. J., Orsinin, D., Wang, B., Scheuer, E., Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., Seid, G. K., DeBell, L., Maulrin, R. L., Kosciuch, E., Cantrell, C., and Eisele, F.: Investigations into free tropospheric new particle formation in the central Canadian Arctic during the winter/spring transition as part of TOPSE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8357, doi:10.1029/2002JD002239, 2003. 21961

15 Young, L.-H., Benson, D. R., Montanaro, W. M., Lee, S.-H., Pan, L. L., Rogers, D. C., Jensen, J., Stith, J. L., Davis, C. A., Campos, T. L., Bowman, K. P., Cooper, W. A., and Lait, L. R.: Enhanced new particle formation observed in the Northern Hemisphere midlatitude tropopause region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10218, doi:10.1029/2006JD008109, 2007. 21961, 21962

Yu, F. and Turco, R. P.: Ultrafine aerosol formation via ion-mediated nucleation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 883–886, 2000. 21961

**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Table 1. Range of values of γ_d , γ_w , w and n for case 1 to case 3 for the two altitudes (6000 and 7000 m) considered.

Case	Altitude	γ_d (uK/Pa)	γ_w (uK/Pa)	w (cm/s)	n (cm ⁻³)
Case 1	6000	400–1400	300–1400	-2–2	10^6 – 10^{10}
Case 2	6000	200–800	-500–700	-3–4	10^5 – 10^9
Case 3	6000	0–400	-100–200	-2–6	10^4 – 10^7
Case 1	7000	500–2300	400–2000	-2–4	10^5 – 10^{10}
Case 2	7000	700–1700	700–1600	-4–2	10^5 – 10^9
Case 3	7000	0–1500	-300–1400	-3–5.5	10^4 – 10^7

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Table 2. Occurrence of case 1 to case 3 on the 22, 24 and 26 May 2004 for the two altitudes (6000 and 7000 m) considered.

	Total	22 May 6000 m	22 May 7000 m	24 May 6000 m	24 May 7000 m	26 May 6000 m	26 May 7000 m
Case 1	19	1	3	2	3	6	4
Case 2	7	2	–	3	1	–	1
Case 3	10	3	3	1	2	–	1

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Table 3. Parameters encountered along the trajectories started at the altitude and location where the flights were performed (22 May, 24 May and 26 May, respectively). Altitude, time when nucleation occurred, sulfuric acid and water mixing ratio used for initialisation of the box model, dry and wet stability, vertical velocity, cooling rate, temperature and number density at the time when nucleation occurred and number density of nucleation mode particles at the end of the simulation are given.

Date	Altitude (m)	t (h)	H ₂ O (ppmv)	H ₂ SO ₄ (pptv)	γ_d (uK/Pa)	γ_w (uK/Pa)	w (cm/s)	dT/dt (K/h)	T (K)	n (cm ⁻³)	n_{nucl} (cm ⁻³)
22 May	7000	22	78	1	493	444	5	-1.8	228	2×10^7	488
22 May	7000	17	78	40	286	198	9	-3.5	241	6×10^6	12
22 May	7000	16	78	80	235	104	8	-3.5	244	7×10^6	0
24 May	7000	47	116	1	698	633	1	-0.4	235	2×10^5	0
24 May	7000	37	116	40	674	602	4	-1.5	245	2×10^6	38
24 May	7000	2	116	80	642	569	-3	1.0	250	1×10^7	0
26 May	7000	4	98	1	1696	1611	1	-0.5	232	4×10^5	0
26 May	7000	2	98	40	779	660	1	-0.5	233	4×10^8	0
26 May	7000	2	98	80	779	660	1	-0.5	233	4×10^8	0

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 1. Back trajectories calculated with TRAJKS based on ECWMF analyses. The trajectories that were started at 7000 m on 22 May at 09:30 UTC at 11.0° E/78.9° N (red), 24 May at 09:00 UTC at 22.5° E/78.2° N (blue) and 26 May at 16:00 UTC 10.0° E/78.5° N (green) are shown.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




Fig. 2. Nucleation mode particles (4 to 13 nm) measured on 24 May 2004 during the ASTAR 2004 campaign. Nucleation mode particles were measured at 7000 m between 08:45 and 09:00 UTC. The measured nucleation mode particles at the begin and end of the simulation (07:05 and 09:30 UTC, respectively) are due to air pollution from Longyearbyen.

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 3. Number density versus time for the simulation along the back trajectory started on 24 May at 6000 m (19° E 78° N) initialising the model with a H₂SO₄ mixing ratio of 1 pptv (top), 40 pptv (middle) and 80 pptv (bottom). The nucleation burst occurs at $t=28$ h (1 pptv), $t=2$ h (40 pptv) and $t=2$ h (80 pptv) and thus this model simulation can be classified as case 2. See text for further details. (Note: the simulations are performed forward in time, thus, the end of the simulations coincides with the starting point of the back trajectory).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 4. The maximum number density encountered during the simulation versus the dry stability γ_d (left) and wet stability γ_w (right) for 6000 m (top) and 7000 m (bottom) for the simulations which have been classified to case 1. The box model simulations were initialised with a H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 1 pptv (red), 40 pptv (blue) and 80 pptv (green).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 5. The maximum number density encountered during the simulation versus the dry stability γ_d (left) and wet stability γ_w (right) for 6000 m (top) and 7000 m (bottom) for the simulations which have been classified to case 2. The box model simulations were initialised with a H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 1 pptv (red), 40 pptv (blue) and 80 pptv (green).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 6. The maximum number density encountered during the simulation versus the dry stability γ_d (left) and wet stability γ_w (right) for 6000 m (top) and 7000 m (bottom) for the simulations which have been classified to case 3. The box model simulations were initialised with a H₂SO₄ mixing ratio of 1 pptv (red), 40 pptv (blue) and 80 pptv (green).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 7. The maximum number density encountered during the simulation versus updraft velocity w for 6000 m (left) and 7000 m (right) for the simulations which have been classified to case 1 (top), case 2 (middle), and case 3 (bottom). The box model simulations were initialised with a H_2SO_4 mixing ratio of 1 pptv (red), 40 pptv (blue) and 80 pptv (green).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Particle nucleation in
the Arctic free
troposphere**

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 8. Sulfuric acid mixing ratio versus the number density of nucleation mode particles encountered at the end of the simulation, thus at the time when the measurement was performed. The grey shaded area marks the number density of the nucleation mode particles (4–13 nm) measured on 24 May during ASTAR 2004. Shown are the number densities for all trajectories started on 22, 24 and 26 May at 6000 and 7000 m.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Particle nucleation in the Arctic free troposphere

F. Khosrawi et al.




Fig. 9. Sulfuric acid mixing ratio versus the number density encountered at the end of simulation, thus at the time when the measurement was performed. The grey shaded area marks the number density of the nucleation mode particles (4–13 nm) measured on 24 May during ASTAR 2004. Shown are only the number densities for the trajectories which were started on 22, 24 and 26 May at 6000 and 7000 m near the location where the flight was performed.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

